IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE

REVIEW PETITION STAMP NO.12695 OF 2006
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.8735 OF 2005

Office Note, Office Memoranda of Coram appearance, Corut's orders or directions and Registrar's Orders:

Court's or Judge's Orders

Ms. Leena Patil, for the Petitioner
Mr. G.K. Masand, for Respondent No.3.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, JJ.
DATE : 29TH AUGUST, 2006
P.C.

. Counsel for the petitioner contends that one of the points urged in the petition was that respondent No.3 was not qualified. Such a point had not been answered by CAT. Learned Counsel contends that the point was taken in the petition before this Court. Even if it was taken it was not argued before us and the only point which was raised was about the constitution of the Committee which point has been answered. Considering that, in our opinion, no case for interference.

Review Petition rejected

(**F.I. REBELLO, J.)**

(**DR. D.Y.CHANDRACHUD,.J)**